arXiv:1405.5452v1 [math.AC] 21 May 2014

STANLEY DEPTH OF WEAKLY POLYMATROIDAL IDEALS

S. A. SEYED FAKHARI

Abstract. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field and $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables over the field $\mathbb{K}$. In this paper, it is shown that Stanley's conjecture holds for S/I, if I is a weakly polymatroidal ideal.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field and $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables over the field $\mathbb{K}$. Let M be a nonzero finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded S-module. Let $u \in M$ be a homogeneous element and $Z \subseteq \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. The $\mathbb{K}$-subspace $u\mathbb{K}[Z]$ generated by all elements uv with $v \in \mathbb{K}[Z]$ is called a *Stanley space* of dimension $|Z|$, if it is a free $\mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}]$-module. Here, as usual, $|Z|$ denotes the number of elements of Z. A decomposition $\mathcal{D}$ of M as a finite direct sum of Stanley spaces is called a *Stanley decomposition* of M. The minimum dimension of a Stanley space in $\mathcal{D}$ is called *Stanley depth* of $\mathcal{D}$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{sdepth}(\mathcal{D})$. The quantity

$$\operatorname{sdepth}(M) := \max\big\{\operatorname{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) \mid \mathcal{D} \text{ is a Stanley decomposition of } M\big\}$$

is called *Stanley depth* of M. Stanley [11] conjectured that

$$\operatorname{depth}(M) \leq \operatorname{sdepth}(M)$$

for all $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded S-modules M. For a reader friendly introduction to Stanley decomposition, we refer to [5] and for a nice survey on this topic we refer to [1].

Let I be a monomial ideal of $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ which is generated in a single degree and assume that $G(I)$ is the set of minimal monomial generators of I. The ideal I is called *polymatroidal* if the following exchange condition is satisfied: For monomials $u = x_1^{a_1} \ldots x_n^{a_n}$ and $v = x_1^{b_1} \ldots x_n^{b_n}$ belonging to $G(I)$ and for every i with $a_i > b_i$, one has j with $a_j < b_j$ such that $x_j(u/x_i) \in G(I)$.

In [6], the authors prove that S/I^k satisfies Stanley's conjecture for every polymatroidal ideal I and every $k \gg 0$. In this paper, we prove a stronger result. We show that S/I satisfies Stanley's conjecture for every polymatroidal ideal I. Since every power of a polymatroidal ideal is again a polymatroidal ideal (see [2, Theorem 12.6.3]), this result implies that S/I^k satisfy Stanley's conjecture, for every $k \geq 1$. In fact, we prove stronger result. We show that S/I satisfies Stanley's conjecture, provided that I is a weakly polymatroidal ideal (see Theorem 2.4).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 13C15, 05E99; Secondary: 13C13.

Key words and phrases. Weakly polymatroidal ideal, Stanley depth.

This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 93130422).

2. Main results

Weakly polymatroidal ideals are generalization of polymatroidal ideals and they are defined as follows.

Definition 2.1 ([4], Definition 1.1)**.** A monomial ideal I of $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is called *weakly polymatroidal* if for every two monomials $u = x_1^{a_1} \ldots x_n^{a_n}$ and $v = x_1^{b_1} \ldots x_n^{b_n}$ in $G(I)$ such that $a_1 = b_1, \ldots, a_{t-1} = b_{t-1}$ and $a_t > b_t$ for some t, there exists $j > t$ such that $x_t(v/x_j) \in I$.

Let I be a monomial ideal and let $G(I)$ be the set of minimal monomial generators of I. Assume that $u_1 \prec u_2 \prec \ldots \prec u_t$ is a linear order on $G(I)$. We say that I has *linear quotients with respect to* $\prec$, if for every $2 \leq i \leq t$, the ideal $(u_1, \ldots, u_{i-1}) : u_i$ is generated by a subset of variables. We say that I has *linear quotients*, if it has linear quotients with respect to a linear order on $G(I)$. It is known that every weakly polymatroidal ideal I has linear quotients with respect to the pure lexicographical order $<_{\text{purelex}}$ induced by $x_1 > x_2 > \ldots > x_n$ (see [2, Theorem 12.7.2]). The following result due to Sharifan and Varbaro has crucial role in the proof of our main result.

Theorem 2.2 ([12], Corollary 2.7)**.** *Let $I \subseteq S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a monomial ideal. Assume that I has linear quotients with respect to $u_1 \prec u_2 \prec \ldots \prec u_t$, where $\{u_1, \ldots, u_t\}$ is the set of minimal monomial generators of I. For every $2 \leq i \leq t$, let n_i be the number of variables which generate $(u_1, \ldots, u_{i-1}) : u_i$. Then*

$$\operatorname{pd}_S(S/I) = \max\{n_i : 2 \leq i \leq t\} + 1.$$

Keeping the notations of Theorem 2.2 in mind, Auslander–Buchsbaum formula implies that

$$\operatorname{depth}_S(S/I) = n - \max\{n_i : 2 \leq i \leq t\} - 1.$$

Let I be a weakly polymatroidal ideal. In order to prove Stanley's conjecture for S/I, we need the following lemma. It shows that the depth of a weakly polymatroidal ideal does not decrease under the elimination of x_1. As usual for every monomial u, the *support* of u, denoted by $\operatorname{Supp}(u)$, is the set of variables which divide u.

Lemma 2.3. *Let I be a weakly polymatroidal ideal ideal of $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, such that*

$$x_1 \in \bigcup_{u \in G(I)} \operatorname{Supp}(u).$$

Let $S' = \mathbb{K}[x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring obtained from S by deleting the variable x_1 and consider the ideal $I' = I \cap S'$. Then $\operatorname{depth}_{S'}(S'/I') \geq \operatorname{depth}_S(S/I)$.

Proof. We first note that $I \neq 0$, sine

$$x_1 \in \bigcup_{u \in G(I)} \operatorname{Supp}(u).$$

If $I' = 0$, then $\operatorname{depth}_{S'}(S'/I') = n - 1 \geq \operatorname{depth}_S(S/I)$. Therefore, assume that $I' \neq 0$. Let $G(I) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_t\}$ be the set of minimal monomial generators of I and suppose

that $u_t <_{\text{purelex}} u_{t-1} <_{\text{purelex}} \dots <_{\text{purelex}} u_1$. Since $I' \neq 0$, the assumption implies that there exists an integer $1 \leq s \leq t-1$ such that x_1 divides $u_1, \dots, u_s$ and x_1 does not divide $u_{s+1}, \dots, u_t$. It is clear that I' is a weakly polymatroidal ideal which is minimally generated by the set $\{u_{s+1}, \dots, u_t\}$. It follows from the definition of weakly polymatroidal ideals that for every $s+1 \leq i \leq t$, there exists an integer $i_j \geq 2$ such that $x_1(u_i/x_{i_j}) \in I$. Thus, there exists a monomial, say $u_{i_j} \in G(I)$, such that u_{i_j} divides $x_1(u_i/x_{i_j})$. It is obvious that $1 \leq i_j \leq s$, because otherwise u_{i_j} divides u_i/x_{i_j} which is a contradiction. Hence, for every i with $s+2 \leq i \leq t$ we have

$$(x_1) + ((u_{s+1}, \dots, u_{i-1}) : u_i) \subseteq (u_{i_j}, u_{s+1}, \dots, u_{i-1}) : u_i \subseteq (u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}) : u_i.$$

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$x_1 \notin (u_{s+1}, \dots, u_{i-1}) : u_i$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, we conclude that $\operatorname{pd}_S(S/I) \geq \operatorname{pd}_{S'}(S'/I') + 1$. Now Auslander–Buchsbaum completes the proof of the Lemma. □

We are now ready to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.4. *Let I be a weakly polymatroidal ideal of $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $I \neq S$. Then S/I satisfies Stanley's conjecture.*

Proof. We prove the assertion by induction on n and

$$\sum_{u \in G(I)} \deg(u),$$

where $G(I)$ is the set of minimal monomial generators of I. If $n = 1$ or

$$\sum_{u \in G(I)} \deg(u) = 1,$$

then I is a principal ideal and so we have $\operatorname{depth}(S/I) = n-1$ and by [7, Theorem 1.1], $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = n-1$. Therefore, in these cases, the assertion is trivial.

We now assume that $n \geq 2$ and

$$\sum_{u \in G(I)} \deg(u) \geq 2.$$

Let $S' = \mathbb{K}[x_2, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring obtained from S by deleting the variable x_1 and consider the ideals $I' = I \cap S'$ and $I'' = (I : x_1)$. If

$$x_1 \notin \bigcup_{u \in G(I)} \operatorname{Supp}(u),$$

then the induction hypothesis on n implies that $\operatorname{depth}(S/I) = \operatorname{depth}(S'/I') + 1$. On the other hand, by [3, Lemma 3.6], we conclude that $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = \operatorname{sdepth}(S'/I') +$

1. Therefore, using the induction hypothesis on n we conclude that $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \operatorname{depth}(S/I)$. Therefore, we may assume that

$$x_1 \in \bigcup_{u \in G(I)} \operatorname{Supp}(u).$$

If $x_1 \in I$ (which means $x_1 \in G(I)$), then $\operatorname{depth}(S/I) = \operatorname{depth}(S'/I')$. On the other hand it follows from [7, Theorem 1.1] and [3, Lemma 3.6] that $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) = \operatorname{sdepth}(S'/I')$. Therefore the induction hypothesis on n implies that $\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \operatorname{depth}(S/I)$. Thus we assume that $x_1 \notin I$.

Now $S/I = (S'/I'S') \oplus x_1(S/I''S)$ and therefore by definition of the Stanley depth we have

$$\operatorname{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \min\{\operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(S'/I'S'), \operatorname{sdepth}_S(S/I'')\}. \tag{1}$$

Using Lemma [9, Lemma 2.2], it follows that I'' is a weakly polymatroidal ideal. Hence [8, Corollary 1.3] together with the induction hypothesis on

$$\sum_{u \in G(I)} \deg(u)$$

implies that

$$\operatorname{sdepth}_S(S/I'') \geq \operatorname{depth}_S(S/(I : x_1)) \geq \operatorname{depth}_S(S/I).$$

On the other hand, $I'S'$ is a weakly polymatroidal ideal and since

$$x_1 \in \bigcup_{u \in G(I)} \operatorname{Supp}(u),$$

using Lemma 2.3, we conclude that $\operatorname{depth}_{S'}(S'/I') \geq \operatorname{depth}_S(S/I)$ and therefore by the induction hypothesis on n, we conclude that $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S'}(S'/I'S') \geq \operatorname{depth}_S(S/I)$. Now the assertions follow by inequality (1). □

Remark 2.5. Let I be a weakly polymatroidal ideal of S. In Theorem 2.4, we showed that S/I satisfies Stanley's conjecture. It is natural to ask whether I itself satisfies Stanley's conjecture. The answer of this question is positive. Indeed, Soleyman Jahan [10] proves that Stanley's conjecture holds true for every monomial ideal with linear quotients.

References

[1] J. Herzog, A survey on Stanley depth. In "Monomial Ideals, Computations and Applications", A. Bigatti, P. Giménez, E. Sáenz-de-Cabezón (Eds.), Proceedings of MONICA 2011. Lecture Notes in Math. **2083**, Springer (2013).

[2] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, *Monomial Ideals*, Springer-Verlag, 2011.

[3] J. Herzog, M. Vladoiu, X. Zheng, How to compute the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal, *J. Algebra* **322** (2009), no. 9, 3151–3169.

[4] F. Mohammadi, S. Moradi, Weakly polymatroidal ideals with applications to vertex cover ideals, *Osaka J. Math.* **47** (2010), 627–636.

[5] M. R. Pournaki, S. A. Seyed Fakhari, M. Tousi, S. Yassemi, What is ... Stanley depth? *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **56** (2009), no. 9, 1106–1108.
[6] M. R. Pournaki, S. A. Seyed Fakhari, S. Yassemi, On the Stanley depth of weakly polymatroidal ideals, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **100** (2013), 115–121.
[7] A. Rauf, Stanley decompositions, pretty clean filtrations and reductions modulo regular elements, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.)* **50(98)** (2007), no. 4, 347–354.
[8] A. Rauf, Depth and Stanley depth of multigraded modules, *Comm. Algebra* **38**, (2010), 773–784.
[9] S. A. Seyed Fakhari, Stanley depth of weakly polymatroidal ideals and squarefree monomial ideals, *Illinois J. Math.*, to appear.
[10] A. Soleyman Jahan, Prime filtrations and Stanley decompositions of squarefree modules and Alexander duality, *Manuscripta. Math.* **130** (2009), 533–550.
[11] R. P. Stanley, Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology, *Invent. Math.* **68** (1982), no. 2, 175–193.
[12] L. Sharifan, M. Varbaro, Graded Betti numbers of ideals with linear quotients, *Le Matematiche*, **63** (2008), 257–265.

S. A. Seyed Fakhari, School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran.
E-mail address: fakhari@ipm.ir
URL: http://math.ipm.ac.ir/fakhari/